

Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida, January Term, A.D. 2010

Opinion filed June 2, 2010.

Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

No. 3D08-2772

Lower Tribunal No. 04-30480

Cesar Ruano,
Appellant,

vs.

The State of Florida,
Appellee.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Peter R. Lopez,
Judge.

Carlos J. Martinez, Public Defender, and Robert Kalter, Assistant Public
Defender, for appellant.

Bill McCollum, Attorney General, and Jill D. Kramer, Assistant Attorney
General, for appellee.

Before RAMIREZ, C.J., and WELLS and ROTHENBERG, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

While appellant Cesar Ruano argues on appeal that the prosecution
improperly asked him on cross-examination whether another witness was lying,

cf., Knowles v. State, 632 So. 2d 62, 65-66 (Fla. 1993), the objection at trial was on the basis of “form” and “argumentative.” Because the defense failed to object on the basis that the prosecutor improperly asked Ruano whether he thought that a witness was lying, the issue was not preserved for appeal.

Affirmed.